A. TIME-REVERSAL OPERATOR

In the main article we introduce the anti-unitary time-reversal operator $\Xi = T$ which maps the incoming coefficients of a scattering state onto the incoming coefficients of the corresponding time-reversed state. For time-reversal invariant systems like the ones we study the scattering matrix $S$ is unitary symmetric such that the time-reversal operator $\Xi$ can also be written as follows: $\Xi = S^\dagger T$. This identity can be conveniently used to show that $\Xi$ commutes with the Wigner-Smith time-delay operator $Q$ which, due to its Hermiticity, satisfies the following identities: $Q = i\hbar(\partial_E S^\dagger)S = -i\hbar S^\dagger(\partial_E S)$. In particular, we have:

$$
\{Q, \Xi\} = \{i\hbar(\partial_E S^\dagger)S\} S^\dagger T - S^\dagger T \{ -i\hbar S^\dagger(\partial_E S)\} =
\quad = i\hbar \{(\partial_E S^\dagger)SS^\dagger T - S^\dagger ST(\partial_E S)\} =
\quad = i\hbar \{(\partial_E S^\dagger)T - (\partial_E S^\dagger)T\} = 0.
$$

\[ (E.1) \]

B. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF NOTES

Since we expect the presence of NOTEs in a given scattering system to depend on non-universal, system specific scattering processes, we should find no NOTEs in a system described by an entirely random scattering matrix as in Random Matrix Theory (RMT). Whereas RMT is thus not a suitable framework for studying NOTEs, the differences that appear between an RMT description and a full numerical solution of a non-universal system will be very instructive for characterizing NOTEs in detail.

Random Matrix Model

For a suitable RMT model of scattering which provides also the Wigner-Smith time delay matrix we follow the so-called “Heidelberg approach” reviewed, e.g., in [1]. This approach links the scattering matrix $S$ of a system with the Hamiltonian $H$ of the corresponding cavity through the coupling matrix $W$ between the discrete bound states in the cavity and the continuum in the attached leads,

$$
S(E) = I - 2\pi iW^\dagger \frac{1}{E - H + i\pi WW^\dagger} W.
$$

\[ (E.2) \]

For time-reversal invariant systems the Hamiltonian matrix $H$ is taken from the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble ($\beta = 1$). The mean spacing of the energy eigenvalues of $H$ is adjusted to match the corresponding mean level spacing $\Delta E = 2\pi / A$ of our cavities with size $A$. (Note that the chaotic cavities we study have a smaller scattering area $A$ and are thus compared with a different RMT ensemble than the regular and the disordered cavities.) The mean absolute value of the random coupling matrix elements of $W$ is adjusted such as to produce a scattering matrix $S$ with, on average, balanced total transmission and reflection, $\langle T \rangle \approx \langle R \rangle \approx \langle T' \rangle \approx \langle R' \rangle \approx N/2$ [2].

For calculating the Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix based on Eq. (E.2), $Q = i\hbar(\partial_E S^\dagger)S$, we make the usual assumption [1] that the coupling matrix elements in $W$ are only weakly energy-dependent such that the derivative $\partial_E S^\dagger$ affects only the explicit energy dependence in the denominator of Eq. (E.2). To check our results we have verified that the Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix $Q$ obtained in this way gives rise to eigenvalues $q_i$ which are statistically distributed according to the RMT predictions put forward in [3] (not shown).

Comparison of numerical data with RMT

It is now of interest to compare the results obtained with this RMT approach with those from our numerical calculations for the regular, chaotic, and disordered cavities. The RMT data was produced based on an ensemble with altogether 20 random scattering matrices following Eq. (E.2). To obtain a comparatively large ensemble of statistical data also for the cavities, we performed the numerical wave calculations with 20 different disorder realizations (for the disordered cavities) and with 20 different lead configurations (for the regular and the chaotic cavity). In the latter case, we shifted the right lead in equidistant steps from the bottom to the top position (the left lead was kept fixed at the top position). To counterbalance the increased numerical effort necessary for calculating such ensembles of cavities, we reduced the wavenumber from $k = 75.5\pi / d$ (as used for the plots in the main text) to $k = 45.5\pi / d$.

In both the RMT and the cavity calculations we focused, in particular, on the eigenstates $\tilde{q}_{i,L}^{\text{in}}$ of $Q_{11}$ which, according to condition (i) in our procedure (see main text), are the key ingredients for NOTEs. In Fig. E.1 we plot the total transmission of these states as a function of...
transmission clusters very strongly around the mean behavior. Furthermore we observe that states with very comparable to the corresponding RMT value, the lower bound of the norm is exactly noiseless for any state. To highlight this strong correlation we show in Fig. E.2 the null-space norm χ of Q_{11} eigenstates as a function of σ = 4T(1 − T), which measure for noiseless scattering can vary between 0 and 1. For all states which display non-universal behavior (deviating from the RMT-result) a strong positive correlation between the two measures χ and σ is visible for all data points that are strongly non-universal, i.e., for parameter values that are different from those where the RMT results cluster (see red diamonds).

We emphasize that the strong correlation between time-delay eigenstates and noiseless scattering (which does not explicitly enter our construction of NOTES) persists here in the limit of finite channel numbers where neither the null-space norm χ is exactly 0 nor the transmission T is exactly noiseless for any state. To highlight this strong correlation we show in Fig. E.2 the null-space norm χ of Q_{11} eigenstates as a function of σ = 4T(1 − T), which measure for noiseless scattering can vary between 0 and 1. For all states which display non-universal behavior (deviating from the RMT-result) a strong positive correlation between the two measures χ and σ is observed, suggesting that we can use these two measures interchangeably for testing the quality of a NOTE. We have checked explicitly that the statistical spread in the correlation between χ and σ (see Fig. E.2) is further reduced when considering states on the same phase space band.

The statistical data shown in Fig. E.1 and Fig. E.2 also demonstrate that NOTES are completely absent in the RMT description along Eq. (E.2). This observation
Fig. E.3: Eigenvalues \( q \) of \( Q_{11} \) versus noiseless norm \( \sigma \) of the corresponding eigenvectors \( \tilde{q}_{11} \). The same data set and color coding is used as in Fig. E.1. As expected from our model, all states with a small noiseless norm \( \sigma \) correspond to short delay-time values \( q \). A clustering of these \( q \)-values around the classical values belonging to individual phase space bands is clearly observed. Note, e.g., that a delay time of 100 (200) corresponds to a single (double) traversal of the cavity along the upper cavity boundary in those cavity configurations where the right lead is (is not) located at the top-most position. The maximum delay-time \( \tau_0 \) where such non-universal deviations with \( \sigma < 0.1 \) occur are indicated by the black horizontal bars. The values of \( \tau_0 \) are reduced in chaotic vs. regular ballistic cavities and in short-range vs. long-range disordered cavities.

is perfectly in line with the expectation that the presence of NOTEs requires extended bands in phase space. As such non-universal features are clearly absent in the RMT description, this model does not give rise to any NOTEs. Rather, the eigenstates of the random matrix \( Q_{11} \) do not constitute a preferred basis in terms of transmission (as opposed to the eigenstates of \( H \)). Their transmission values thus cluster around the average value \( T \approx 0.5 \) and are strongly suppressed near the noiseless values \( T \approx 0,1 \). As discussed in the main part of the paper, one can show by employing the time-reversal operator \( \Xi \) that such states which lie outside the noiseless subspace can never be time-delay eigenstates when injected from just one of the leads. Correspondingly, the \( Q_{21} \) null space norm \( \chi \) of these RMT states always remains larger than the (small) \( \chi \)-values of NOTEs.

From the above results we conclude that the noiseless eigenstates of \( Q_{11} \) are NOTEs for which the corresponding eigenvalues \( q \) of \( Q_{11} \) are time-delay values. Following our model we expect that these time-delay eigenvalues correspond to the time spent in the cavity when scattering along a given trajectory bundle (see section C for more details). Consequently, all states that belong to the same bundle should feature similar values of \( q \), which we expect to lead to a clustering of \( q \)-values around the classical time delay values of those trajectory bundles which can be fully resolved by a scattering state. As, in turn, the degree of this resolution is measured by the noiseless norm \( \sigma \) (or, alternatively, by the null-space norm \( \chi \)) it is instructive to plot the eigenvalues \( q \) of \( Q_{11} \) as a function of these norms \( \sigma \) (or \( \chi \)). The resulting plot shown for the noiseless norm \( \sigma \) in Fig. E.3 nicely confirms the expected behavior: we first observe that no states with both very long time-delays and small \( \sigma \)-values are found, in correspondence with the expectation that NOTEs can only form on short-lived/stable phase space bands. States with small norm values \( \sigma \) show the most pronounced deviations from RMT and correspond exclusively to short-lived states with time-delay values on the lower end of the entire distribution. For a single cavity these non-universal \( q \)-values cluster along horizontally elongated regions, corresponding to states on the same phase space band. For such states the time-delay values \( q \) are similar, but the norms \( \sigma \) vary considerably, depending on the overlap of a state with regions outside the band. In Fig. E.3 such a clustering of values is still visible but blurred due to the different lead configurations that enter the statistical data set. Those NOTEs out of this set with the lowest values of \( \chi \) correspond to scattering states which propagate solely along the upper cavity boundary where the focussing is enhanced by the top cavity wall. In contrast, all of these non-universal features are drastically reduced for states with a norm \( \sigma \) near the maximum value 1.

Signatures of regular/chaotic/disorder scattering

Since NOTEs are highly non-universal and system-specific scattering states, their number and their quality should depend significantly on the type of scattering present in a given scattering region. To investigate this issue in more detail we calculated all of the above plots for the regular, chaotic and disordered cavities, respectively. As a measure for the influence of these different scattering mechanisms we introduce a critical time scale \( \tau_0 \) which represents the maximal time up to which time-delay eigenvalues of a given quality (\( \sigma_0 = 0.1 \)) exist for a given system. As this time scale \( \tau_0 \) measures for how long scattering states follow the classical bouncing patterns of NOTEs (see section C) \( \tau_0 \) can loosely be associated with the Ehrenfest time (see Refs. [5-10] in the main paper).

In all of the above plots we consistently find that the strongest deviations from RMT occur for the regular cavity which, correspondingly, also features the largest value of \( \tau_0 \) (see black horizontal bars in Fig. E.3). Note that for the regular cavity deviations from RMT do persist to a certain degree also for states with time-delays longer than \( \tau_0 \), indicating that in regular cavities also very long-lived states may carry non-universal features. Comparing these results with those for the chaotic cavity we observe the following interesting behavior: whereas states
with the shortest time-delays feature very similar values of the norm $\sigma$ in the regular and the chaotic cavities, $\tau_0$ is considerably shorter for the chaotic scatterer than for the regular one. This can be intuitively explained by the fact that short-lived NOTEs which do not have any overlap with the circular part of the boundary in the chaotic dot are the same as the corresponding states in the regular cavity. Those very stable states corresponding to the smallest $\sigma$ values thus appear in both cavity types with similar parameter values. Longer-lived states do eventually also explore the circular boundary part in the chaotic cavity which leads to increased wave spreading and thus to a reduced value of $\tau_0$. In the cavity with long-range disorder the situation is again conspicuously different from the chaotic cavity, although both types of cavities give rise to classical chaotic scattering: in the long-range disordered cavity even the most short-lived states are drastically affected by the disorder since it is contained in the bulk and affects all scattering states (in contrast to the chaotic cavity where the circular boundary part can be avoided). Correspondingly, the minimum value of the norm $\sigma$ is much higher for the disordered cavity than for both the regular and the chaotic cavity. When changing the correlation length of the disorder to smaller length scales (with the disorder amplitude remaining unchanged at $V_0/E = 0.1$) the effect of the disorder is further increased: here even the states with the shortest delay time have $\sigma$ values which are three orders of magnitude larger than in the ballistic cavities without disorder. Also $\tau_0$ is here much smaller than in all other cavity types. This behavior can be attributed to the effect of stochastic scattering which is induced whenever the correlation length of the disorder is of comparable magnitude or smaller than the wavelength.

NOTEs and general time-delay eigenstates

In the main part of the article we argue that NOTEs should appear as a special subset of eigenstates of the Wigner-Smith time-delay operator $Q$. A convenient way to test this relation between NOTEs and general time-delay eigenstates is to compare the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the submatrix $Q_{11}$ (which we use to generate NOTEs) with those of the general Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix $Q$. In particular, we expect that the eigenvectors and eigenvalues belonging to a NOTE (i.e., for which $\chi, \sigma \to 0$) should emerge for both matrices, whereas the remaining eigenstates (with higher values of $\chi, \sigma$) may again be close to the corresponding RMT values for each of these two matrices. To check whether this expected behavior is, indeed, fulfilled consider Fig. E.4: this plot shows the eigenvalues $q_i$ and the noiseless norm $\sigma$ determined from the eigenproblem for the whole Wigner-Smith matrix $Q$ (see text for details). As predicted by our model, a clear correspondence with Fig. E.3 can be observed for small values of $\sigma$. This indicates that NOTEs as identified by the procedure proposed in the main text naturally emerge as eigenstates of the Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix.

The small differences between Figs. E.3, E.4 which remain for small values of $\sigma$ can be well described with the help of degenerate perturbation theory (not shown). In this description the “perturbation” is given in terms of the off-diagonal matrices $Q_{12}, Q_{21}$ which mix the eigenstates of the “unperturbed” matrices $Q_{11}, Q_{22}$. Eigenstates and eigenvalues of $Q_{11}$ thus get perturbed through admixtures from other states which, in further consequence, lead to the above differences. In turn, these admixtures should vanish when the perturbation of a $Q_{11}$-eigenstate $q_{iR}^{\text{in}}$ by the matrices $Q_{12}$ or, equivalently, by $Q_{21}$ goes to zero. Note that this situation is exactly realized when the null-space norm $\chi = \|Q_{21}q_{iL}^{\text{in}}\|$ (or, equivalently, the noiseless norm $\sigma$, see discussion above) goes to zero. This reasoning not only substantiates the fact that the norms $\chi$ and $\sigma$ are a suitable means to measure the quality of a NOTE but also that in the numerically unreachable limit of infinitely many lead modes ($N \to \infty$) the eigenvectors $q_{iL}^{\text{in}}$ of $Q$ contain the incoming mode coefficients from both leads, $q_{iL}^{\text{in}} = (q_{iL,R}^{\text{in}})$, not just from the left lead alone. We thus calculate the norm $\sigma = 4T(1 - T)$ for Fig. E.4 just based on the transmission $T$ associated with the (normalized) injection coefficients from the left lead, i.e., with $q_{iL}^{\text{in}}/\|q_{iL}^{\text{in}}\|$. Since we expect NOTEs to appear in the eigenvectors of $Q$ as a near degenerate doublet (see main text) the normalization removes here the effect of mixing between these two degenerate states. Figure E.4 obtained in this way displays the same characteristic and non-universal features as Fig. E.3. The way in which these features are similar in both plots corroborates our approach.
we should expect the Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix to feature exactly degenerate pairs of NOTEs.

C. NOTES AND CLASSICAL TRAJECTORY BUNDLES

An interesting question to ask is whether all NOTEs we find are associated with classical trajectory bundles and vice versa. To answer this question we have performed a number of tests: our work builds on the established result from the literature (see, e.g., [4]) according to which phase space bands with a size larger than Planck’s constant give rise to noiseless scattering channels. In the weakly disordered regime (which we consider) each of these bands can be associated with a bundle of classical trajectories of equivalent topology and similar length (a situation which is in general not fulfilled for strongly disordered systems). To verify whether all NOTEs are associated with such classical trajectory bundles we thus need to test if the NOTEs generated with our procedure [see conditions (i),(ii) on page 3 in the main paper text] are composed exclusively of noiseless scattering channels. As demonstrated in section B (see, in particular Figs. E.1, E.2) all NOTEs which we find in the ballistic structures (regular and chaotic), indeed, have this property. We also find that in the weakly disordered structures where such trajectory bundles are disturbed, NOTEs are of considerably lower quality. Furthermore, the quality and quantity of NOTEs correlates strongly with the area of a given phase space band: consider, e.g., that no NOTEs are found with very large time-delays (see Figs. E.3, E.4) as these are associated with very small phase space bands. We conclude from these observations that all NOTEs we find can, indeed, be associated with classical trajectory bundles.

The above arguments still leave open whether each NOTE can be associated with only a single or multiple trajectory bundles. Since NOTEs are time-delay eigenstates and different bundles have, in general, different time-delays our procedure should yield only a single bundle per NOTE already by construction. To test whether this expectation is, indeed, fulfilled we performed the following additional tests: We have checked that each time-delay eigenvalue \( q_i \) associated with a NOTE in the regular cavity corresponds to a classical time-delay value from a single classical phase space band: Based on the classical dynamics in a rectangular cavity the product of a proper delay time \( q_i \) with the eigenstate’s average longitudinal velocity, \( \langle |\vec{v}_i^x| \rangle = \hbar \langle |\vec{k}_i^x| \rangle / m \), must be an integer multiple of the cavity width \( W \), corresponding to the number \( M \) of the state’s left-right cavity traversals, \( q_i |\vec{v}_i^x| = MW \). With the average longitudinal momentum \( \langle |\vec{k}_i^x| \rangle \) of state \( i \) being determined as follows, \( \langle |\vec{k}_i^x| \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\langle \vec{q}_i^L \rangle_n |^2 \sqrt{k^2 - (\pi/\delta^2)} \), we find this criterion to be fulfilled with a relative error below 2\% by all the NOTEs for which \( \chi \lesssim 20 \). This result confirms that for the rectangular cavity our numerical procedure yields proper delay times in very good agreement with classical expectation. In the chaotic cavity we have checked that NOTEs which have no overlap with the circular boundary feature a one-to-one correspondence with the equivalent states in the regular cavity (both in terms of the time-delay eigenvalue and in terms of the wave function).

For the remaining NOTEs in the chaotic cavity (which do have an overlap with the circular boundary) and for all NOTEs in the disordered cavities we have checked explicitly with the help of the corresponding scattering wave functions that these NOTEs all feature highly collimated wave function patterns as expected for states that are supported by classical trajectory bundles. Details can be found in section E, where we have provided the wave function patterns of NOTEs on specific phase space bands (in the ballistic cavities) and all NOTEs with \( \chi \lesssim 30 \) in the disordered cavities.

Whereas the above tests suggest that each NOTE we found is associated with a single phase space band/trajectory bundle this association does not always hold in the opposite direction: as stated above, NOTEs can only be formed on phase space bands with a size larger than \( \hbar \) (a condition which we have explicitly verified in an earlier paper [5]). A further restriction to form NOTEs on such noiseless channels comes from the well-defined time-delay associated with NOTEs. This requirement imposes an additional constraint which makes it harder for NOTEs to fit on a given phase space band as compared to the transmission eigenstates of \( tt \). Correspondingly, we find that the transmission of NOTEs is generally not as noiseless as that of the transmission eigenstates (not shown).

We mention, parenthetically, that imperfections both in the formation of noiseless channels and NOTEs are mostly reflected by those states labeled as “intermediate” in Fig. E.1a: these states deviate from RMT statistics but do not have a good quality as NOTEs. Such intermediate states are found to persist in the presence of weak disorder (Fig. E.1c,d).

D. WKB/EIKONAL ANSATZ FOR NOTES

In the main part of the paper we showed that NOTEs are defined by the two conditions (i) \( Q_{11} \check{q}_\omega^{\text{in},L} = q_i \check{q}_i^{\text{in},L} \) and (ii) \( Q_{21} \check{q}_\omega^{\text{in},L} = \vec{0} \). In this part of the appendix we investigate how these equations can be satisfied, based on the observation from section C that NOTEs are time-delay eigenstates located on individual phase space bands. We assume, for simplicity, that we are dealing with a transmission band, leading to a fully transmitted NOTE. Our arguments can, however, be applied to reflection bands as well.

Consider first the eigenproblem in (i): \( Q_{11} \check{q}_\omega^{\text{in},L} =
\( \hbar (r^t r + i t^t) \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} = q_i \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} \). Using the property that \( \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} \) is a fully transmitted noiseless state, \( t^t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} = \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} \), we have \( r^t r \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} = r^t \tilde{0} = \tilde{0} \), leaving us with \( Q_{11} \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} = i \hbar i t^t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} = q_L \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} \) for the evaluation of which we perform a singular value decomposition (SVD) of \( t = \sum_t i \) with \( t_i = \tilde{u}_i \sigma_i \tilde{v}_i^* \). The right-singular vectors \( \tilde{v}_i = \tilde{v}_{im} \) are the eigenvectors of \( t^t \), the left-singular vectors \( \tilde{u}_i = (\tilde{v}_{im}^t)^\dagger \) are the eigenvectors of \( t^t \) (\( (t^t)^\dagger \)), and the singular values are the square roots of the corresponding transmission eigenvalues, \( \sigma_i = \sqrt{\tau_i} \in [0, 1] \). In wave transport through complex scattering landscapes the non-deterministic singular values \( 0 < \sigma_i < 1 \) and their corresponding singular vectors \( \tilde{v}_i \) and \( \tilde{u}_i \) feature a very strong energy dependence as reflected, e.g., in the familiar conductance fluctuations. In the degenerate noiseless subspace where \( \sigma_i = 0, 1 \) the situation is more complicated: states which are noiseless due to an appropriate interference of path contributions (like in Fig. 1a) also feature a significant energy dependence induced by multi-path interference. For those states that are noiseless due to a resolution of the underlying classical phase space (like in Fig. 1b) the singular values \( \sigma_i \) all stay at the energy-independent degenerate values of \( \sigma_i = 0, 1 \) (see, e.g., [4]). Since the corresponding singular vectors are, typically, distributed over several transmission (reflection) bands for \( \sigma_i = 1 \) (\( \sigma_i = 0 \)) their energy-dependence is not well defined unless we fix this distribution. As we argue above, a distribution where each state is located on a single band can be achieved by demanding that the noiseless vectors \( \tilde{u}_i \) are NOTEs, \( \tilde{v}_i = \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} \) and \( \tilde{u}_i = t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} \). On a single band all involved trajectories will accumulate a very similar phase, leading to energy-independent constructive interference such that the incoming/right singular vectors have only a very weak energy dependence, \( \partial_E \tilde{v}_i \approx \tilde{0} \). In this relation the arbitrary global phase that we are free to choose in any SVD was fixed for the vector \( \tilde{v}_i \), such as to minimize its energy-dependence. This condition, in turn, also determines the corresponding outgoing/left singular vector, \( \tilde{u}_i = \tilde{u}_{im}^0 e^{i \phi_i(E)} \), to consist of an energy-independent part, \( \partial_E \tilde{u}_{im}^0 \approx \tilde{0} \), and an energy-dependent phase shift, \( e^{i \phi_i(E)} \). The phase shift \( \phi(E) = S_b(E) / h = \pi \mu_b / 2 \) can be associated with the action \( S_b(E) = \int k \cdot dI \) and the Maslov index \( \mu_b \) accumulated along the phase space band. With the transmission amplitudes for the transmitted NOTEs now being \( t_i = \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} e^{i \phi_i(q_{in}^{t^t})} \), we can further simplify condition (i): \( Q_{11} \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} = i \hbar i t^t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} = i \hbar \left( \partial_E(t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t}) - t^t \partial_E(t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t}) \right) \) = \( (\partial_E S_b) \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} \), from which we obtain the time-delay eigenvalue \( \tilde{q}_i = \partial_E S_b \), in full analogy to the one-dimensional case [6]. Similarly, condition (ii) reads: \( Q_{21} \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} = i \hbar r^t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} = i \hbar \left[ \partial_E (r^t t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t}) - r^t t \partial_E (r^t t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t}) \right] \) = \( i \hbar \left[ \partial_E (r^t t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t}) - (\partial_E S_b) r^t t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} \right] \), which expression must be zero since the unitarity of the S-matrix implies \( r^t t = -t^t r \) and \( r^t t \tilde{q}_{in}^{t^t} = 0 \) for a noiseless transmission state.

We have thus verified that WKB/eikonal-type scattering states which are characterized by their phase shift accumulated along a given trajectory bundle satisfy the two defining equations (i),(ii) which we have put forward to construct NOTEs.

### E. WAVE FUNCTION IMAGES

In the figures attached below we provide a number of wave function images as obtained for the four different cavity types discussed in the main article. In the regular and chaotic cavity we show all states belonging to a specific phase space band: Figs. E.5, E.6 illustrate how NOTEs systematically increase their transverse quantization until, when a band is filled, overlap with phase space regions outside this band lead to a significant drop in the quality of NOTEs. For the disordered cavities we display all wave function patterns with a quality below \( \chi < 30 \): Figs. E.7, E.8 show that all states of this quality still feature very collimated wave functions in spite of the presence of weak disorder. Note that in the cavity with long-range correlations in the disorder the number of NOTEs of this quality is much higher than with short-range disorder.

Fig. E.5: Wave function densities of NOTEs in the rectangular cavity at wave number $k = 75.5\pi/d$: States associated with the transmission band T2 (see Fig. 1c in the main text) are shown with their respective null-space and noiseless norms $\chi, \sigma$. With increasing transverse quantization the quality of states (as measured by $\chi, \sigma$) deteriorates monotonically. This decrease in the quality of NOTEs is accompanied by the appearance of diffraction effects at the sharp lead mouth (see arrow in the bottom row).

Fig. E.6: Wave function densities of NOTEs in the chaotic cavity at wave number $k = 75.5\pi/d$: States associated with the direct transmission path are shown with their respective null-space and noiseless norms $\chi, \sigma$. With increasing transverse quantization states have more overlap with the circular boundary part. For low-quality NOTEs diffraction effects are observed also here (see arrow in the bottom row).
Fig. E.7: Wave function densities of NOTEs in the disordered cavity with long-range disorder at wave number $k = 75.5\pi/d$: all NOTEs with a null-space norm $\chi \lesssim 30$ are shown in the order of their time-delay value $q_i$. The number of states with this quality is here much larger than in the case of short-range disorder with the same disorder amplitude, $V_0 = 10\% E$ (see Fig. E.8 for comparison). The employed potential landscape with disorder correlation length $k r_c = 30\pi$ is shown in the rightmost panel in the bottom row.

Fig. E.8: Wave function densities of NOTEs in the disordered cavity with short-range disorder at wave number $k = 75.5\pi/d$: all NOTEs with a null-space norm $\chi \lesssim 30$ are shown in the order of their time-delay value $q_i$. The disorder amplitude ($V_0 = 10\% E$) is here the same as in Fig. E.7, but the number of states with quality $\chi \lesssim 30$ is strongly reduced as compared to the case of long-range disorder. The employed potential landscape with disorder correlation length $k r_c = 5\pi$ is shown in the rightmost panel in the bottom row.